



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_31824
Title of the Manuscript:	Causes of Deforestation and its Geological impacts in Swat District, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Under the section 'Data collection': 1. How were the respondents selected? 2. Are they all men? Add an Appendix with the questions that you asked the respondents	1. There was no criteria for respondents selection they were interviewed randomly in different areas. 2. They were all men. 3. Questionnaire attached
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 59-60. Please add the latitude and longitude of the study area. Also, add the label 'Pakistan' to the map. Figure 3. Define 'educated'.	Education: The respondent who is just matriculated is considered educated.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Language needs improving. Lines 70-93 should be deleted. These are not part of the discussion. They should be in the introduction. Figure 7. So in other words, 40% of the people were not aware that there was a reforestation program. Is that correct? Table 1. How do you know that a person who says deforestation is 'high' and another who says it is 'moderate' don't mean the same thing? People's opinions can differ but they might be referring to the same thing. Its message would be much improved with better English. I would suggest the manuscript receive a thorough edit by a native English speaker.	Figure 7. yes it is correct as the study has been carried out in different places, in some areas there were no afforestation program. Table 1. Moderate is considered as I between the high and low, high and moderate has too much difference.